A Cephalotaxine Synthesis Founded on a Mechanistically Interesting, Quasi-biomimetic Strategy

Xiaodong Lin, Robert W. Kavash, and Patrick S. Mariano*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742

Received August 3, 1994

Interest in the synthesis of cephalotaxine (1) and its C-3 hydroxyl esters has remained high ever since the isolation and structural analysis of these alkaloids in the 1960s.¹ Reasons for

this reside in the anticancer properties reported for several members of this family² and the unique, deceivingly simple, structural features found in their pentacyclic skeleton. Cephalotaxine, the parent member of this alkaloid class, has been the target of previous synthetic efforts³ which to date have yielded six total syntheses.^{4,5} Our early preparative efforts in this area focused on a cephalotaxine synthetic strategy which employs SET-promoted photocyclization of an N-silylmethallyl-iminium salt to construct the spirocyclic DE unit.⁶ These studies demonstrated that the methodology could be used to prepare the potential cephalotaxine precursor 2.^{6b} However, in ensuing work, we observed an, at first, disappointing and, then, enlightening result which led to the design of the efficient and quasi-biomimetic cephalotaxine synthesis strategy described below.

(1) Isolation: Paudler, W. W.; Kerley, G. I.; McKay, J. J. Org. Chem. 1963, 28, 2194. Powell, R. G.; Weisleder, D.; Smith, C. R. J. Pharm. Sci. 1972, 61, 1227. Structure and stereochemistry: Abraham, D. J.; Rosenstein, R. D.; McGandy, E. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1969, 4085. Arora, S. K.; Bates, B.; Grady, R. A. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 1269; 1976, 41, 551. Review: Huang, L.; Xue, Z. In The Alkaloids; Brossi, A., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1984; Vol. 23, Chapter 3.

(2) Jiang, T. L.; Liu, R. H.; Salmon, S. E. Invest. New Drugs 1983, 1, 21. Jiang, T. L.; Salmon, S. E.; Liu, R. H. Eur. J. Cancer Clin. Oncol. 1983, 19, 263. Corbett, T. H.; Griswold, D. P.; Roberts, B. J.; Peckham, J. C.; Schabel, F. M. Cancer 1977, 40, 2660. Huang, M. T. Mol. Pharmacol. 1975, 11, 511. Tscherne, J. S.; Pestka, S. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1975, 8, 479. Fresno, M.; Jimenez, A.; Vazquez, D. Eur. J. Biochem. 1977, 72, 323. Ajani, J. A.; Dimery, I.; Chawla, S. P.; Pinnamaneni, K.; Benjamin, R. S.; Legha, S. S.; Krakoff, I. H. Cancer Treat. Rep. 1986, 70, 375. Savaraji, N.; Liu, K.; Dimery, I.; Feun, L. G.; Burgess, M.; Keating, M.; Loo, T. L. Ibid. 1986, 70, 1403. Tan, C. T. C.; Luke, E.; Bocha, D. M.; Steinherz, P.; Steinherz, L.; Mondora, A. Ibid. 1987, 71, 1245.

(3) Dolby, L. J.; Nelson, S. J.; Senkovich, D. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 3691. Weinstein, B.; Craig, A. R. Ibid. 1976, 41, 875. Tse, I.; Snieckus, V. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 505. Raucher, S.; Jones, D. S.; Stenkamp, R. E. Ibid. 1985, 50, 4523. Hill, R. K.; Sawada, S.; Bock, M. G.; Greene, J. R. Heterocycles 1987, 25, 515. Bryce, M. R.; Gardiner, J. M. Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 599. Gardiner, J. M.; Bryce, M. R.; Bates, P. A.; Hursthouse, M. B. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1261. Fang, F. G.; Maier, M. E.; Danishefsky, S. J. Ibid. 1990, 55, 831. Sha, C. K.; Young, J. J.; Yeh, C. P.; Chang, S. C.; Wang, S. L. Ibid. 1991, 56, 2694.

(4) Hudlicky, T.; Kwart, L. D.; Reed, J. W. in Alkaloids. Chemical and Biological Perspectives; Pelletier, S. W., Ed., Springer Verlag: New York; 1987; Vol. 5, Chapter 5.

(5) (a) Auerbach, J.; Weinreb, S. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 7172. Weinreb, S. M.; Auerbach, J. Ibid. 1975, 97, 2503. Weinreb, S. M.; Semmelhack, M. F. Acc. Chem. Res. 1975, 8, 158. (b) Yasuda, S.; Yamada, T.; Hanaoka, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 2023. (c) Semmelhack, M. F.; Chong, B. P.; Jones, L. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 8629. Semmelhack, M. F.; Chong, B. P.; Stauffer, R. D.; Rogerson, T. D.; Chong, A.; Jones, L. D. Ibid. 1975, 97, 2507. (d) Kuehne, M. E.; Bornmann, W. G.; Parsons, W. H.; Spitzer, T. D.; Blount, J. F.; Zubieta, J. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 3439. (e) Ikeda, M.; Okano, M.; Kosaka, K.; Kido, M.; Ishibashi, H. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1993, 41, 276. (f) Burkholder, T. P.; Fuchs, P. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 2341. Burkholder, T. P.; Fuchs, P. L. Ibid. 1990, 112, 9601.

1988, 110, 2341. Burkholder, T. P.; Fuchs, P. L. Ibid. 1990, 112, 9601. (6) (a) Chiu, F. T.; Ullrich, J. W.; Mariano, P. S. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 228. (b) Kavash, R. W.; Mariano, P. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 4185. Kavash, R. W. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Maryland, 1989.

Scheme 1

This cephalotaxine synthetic design is based on a transannular cyclization reaction of the endione 3 to produce the pentacyclic dione 5. The proposal that this process would be successful and that it would lead to significant simplification of the synthetic task derived from our observation that deacylation of the enol ester 2 (NaOMe, MeOH, 25 °C) gives the macrocyclic enone 4, which is the predominant (ca. 10:1) isomer in a dynamic equilibrium with 6. In contrast, desmethylcephalotaxinone 5

(which actually exists in its $\Delta^{3,4}$ -diosphenol form) does not appear to equilibrate to produce its ring-opened endione isomer 3.7 We believe that these phenomena are a consequence of thermodynamics and not kinetics and that the instability of 3 is caused by an unfavorable cyclopentendione dipole interaction which cannot be compensated by forming an antiaromatic five-membered ring diosphenol form. Since 5 has served as the penultimate intermediate in several cephalotaxine syntheses and since its potential precursor 3 appeared accessible by a short and uncomplicated route, we believed that a strategy based on the transannular cyclization process would be efficient. Finally, cephalotaxine pentacyclic ring formation in the conversion of 3 → 5 would mimic the key ring-building step in the proposed biosynthesis of members of this alkaloid family.8 These intriguing issues and proposals stimulated the effort described below which has culminated in a novel and efficient synthesis of cephalotaxine.

The general design (Scheme 1) emanating from the above reasoning employs the known^{5c} iodopiperonyl ethanol derivative 7 as the starting point of the synthesis (Scheme 2). Conversion of 7 to tetracyclic enol ether 8 provides an intermediate in which the remaining aminopropylene D-ring fragment and E-ring enone functionality found in 9 can be installed by use of Grignard addition chemistry. Preparation of 8 takes advantage of the Kuwajima method⁹ for 2-arylcyclopentan-1,3-dione synthesis. Accordingly, hydroxyl protection $7 \rightarrow 10$ (tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride (TBDMSCl), DIEA, DMF, 100%) followed by met-

Dhingra, O. P.; Kim, C.-K. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 4465.
(9) Nakamura, E.; Kuwajima, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 961. Shimada, J.; Hashimoto, K.; Kim, B. H.; Nakamura, E.; Kuwajima, I. Ibid. 1984, 106,

1759

⁽⁷⁾ Fuchs (ref 5f) observed that the 11-hydroxy derivative of 5 undergoes partial eliminative ring opening in its conversion to its the $\Delta^{1,2}$ -methyl enol ether.

^{(8) (}a) The proposed (ref 8b) biosynthetic pathway involves transannular cyclization of a macrocyclic amino cyclohexadienone intermediate followed by E-ring contraction to produce cephalotaxine. (b) Parry, R. J.; Schwab, I. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 2556. Parry, R. J.; Chang, M. N. T.; Schwab, J. M.; Foxman, B. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 1099. Kupchan, S. M.; Dhingra, O. P.; Kim, C.-K. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 4465

allation (nBuLi, -78 °C) and formylation (DMF, 84%) gives the aldehyde 11, which is transformed to 8 by sequential aldol condensation with 1,2-bis(trimethylsiloxy)cyclobutene (BF₃·Et₂O, THF, -78 °C) and acid-catalyzed pinacol rearrangement (TFA, MeOH, 90%). The final step is accompanied by fortuitous TBDMS deprotection and cyclic enol ether formation.

Installation of the three-carbon unit of the target is then carried out by use of Stork's ¹⁰ enone synthesis method involving addition to 8 of the Grignard derived from the ethylene glycol acetal of β -bromopropanal ¹¹ to produce on workup with aqueous NH₄Cl the enone alcohol 12 (82%). Mesylation of the alcohol function $12 \rightarrow 13$ (MsCl, TEA, CH₂Cl₂, 100%) followed by acetal hydrolysis $13\rightarrow 14$ (10% HCl, acetone, 92%), reductive amination $14 \rightarrow 15$ (BnNH₂-HCl, NaCNBH₃, NaOAc, THF), and cyclization (DIEA, MeCN, 65 °C, 64% two steps) then yields the macrocyclic amino enone 16. It is interesting to note that NMR analysis of the intermediate 16 shows that it does not exist to a detectable extent in its ring-closed, spirocyclic form. This is consistent with our observations on 4 and with those made by Fuchs¹² in model studies probing aspects of an early, unsuccessful cephalotaxine synthesis strategy.

In order to shield the amine function in 16 from the ensuing oxidation chemistry and to demonstrate that the macrocyclic amino enone 4 can be prepared in a more efficient manner than originally described, 6b this substance was debenzylated (H2, Pd-C, iPrOH, 83%) and the resulting secondary amine 4 was converted to its tBOC derivative 17 ((tBOC)₂O, CH₂Cl₂, 100%). Among the various α -oxidation procedures attempted, the Davis oxaziridine method¹³ was found to be superior. Thus, α' -enolate generation from 17 (LDA, THF, -78 °C) followed by oxygenation with [(-)-camphor-10-ylsulfonyl]oxaziridine¹³ yields (78%) the α -hydroxy enone 18.14 The N-tBOC endione 19 is derived from 18 by Swern oxidation (DMSO, TFAA, TEA, CH_2Cl_2 , -78 \rightarrow 0°C). In the fashion proposed, N-deprotection of 19 (TMSOTf, CH₂Cl₂, 50% two steps) occurs cleanly to generate desmethylcephalotaxinone 5 by a route involving initial formation and cyclization of the macrocyclic amine endione 3. The physical and spectroscopic properties of 5 prepared in this manner (13 steps from 7 in an unoptimized 12% overall yield) precisely match those previously reported. 15 Finally, 5 is converted to cephalotaxine by the modified 5f Weinreb 5a procedure. Here again, the synthetic material was identical in all respects to a commercial (Sigma) sample of the cephalotaxine.

In summary, the strategic foundation of the cephalotaxine synthetic sequence described above arose from mechanistic thoughts about the driving force for the key transannular cyclization process. As proposed, the existence of desmethyl-cephalotaxinone in its ring-closed form is a consequence of thermodynamics. In this regard, it is not yet known whether this substance can undergo ring opening to reversibly form the amino endione which, as suggested by Fuchs, ^{5f} might ¹⁴ have an adverse impact on attempts at nonracemic syntheses of cephalotaxine which proceed via the intermediacy of this substance.

Acknowledgment. We express our appreciation to David Labaree, whose thoughts contributed to the success of this study, and to the NIH (GM-27251) for their financial support.

(15) We thank Professor Fuchs for providing us with copies of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of 5.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Stork, G.; Danheiser, R. L. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 1775. (11) Buchi, G.; Wuest, H. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 1122. Loozen, H. J. J. Ibid. 1975, 40, 520. Stowell, J. C. Ibid. 1976, 41, 560.

⁽¹²⁾ Conrad, P. C.; Kwiatkowski, P. L.; Fuchs, P. L. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52 586

⁽¹³⁾ Davis, F. A.; Jenkins, R. H.; Awad, S. B.; Stringer, O. D.; Hanson, W. H.; Galloy, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5412. Davis, F. A.; Sheppard, A. C. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 5703.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Several observations suggest that ring opening of nonracemic desmethylcephalotaxinone might not in itself cause racemization since macrocyclic amino enones or endiones related to 16–19 may be chiral/resolvable substances. For example, ¹H NMR analysis of the N-benzyl enone 16 shows that it remains chiral over the temperature range 25–135 °C. Also, two isomers of the α'-hydroxy derivative are formed when 16 is subjected to the Davis LDA/(-)CSA oxidation procedure, and although these substances have yet to be separated, they can be enriched in separate fractions upon silica gel chromatography. Finally, the ¹H NMR spectra of 17–19 reveal the presence of diastereotopic sets of methylene protons, indicative of a slowly interconverting center of chirality in each substance. The sources of these stereochemical phenomena are biphenyl-like centers of chirality resulting from hindered rotation about the bond connecting the aryl and cyclopentenone rings on which we have previously commented (ref 6a).